ReCAP

The full version of this article may be viewed online at DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2015.006619

Attitudes, Beliefs, and Awareness of Graduate Medical Education Trainees Regarding Palliative Care at a Comprehensive Cancer Center

Angelique Wong, MD, Akhila Reddy, MD, Janet L. Williams, MPH, CCRP, Jimin Wu, MS, Diane Liu, MS, and Eduardo Bruera, MD

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Corresponding author: Eduardo Bruera, MD, Department of Palliative Care and Rehabilitation Medicine, Unit 1414, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030; e-mail: ebruera@mdanderson.org.

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jop.ascopubs.org.

QUESTION ASKED: What are graduate medical education trainees' attitudes and beliefs regarding palliative care, what is their awareness of the availability and role of palliative care services, and does previous exposure to a palliative care rotation facilitate a better awareness of palliative care?

SUMMARY ANSWER: A vast majority of oncology trainees perceived palliative care services to be beneficial for patient care (92%) and were supportive of mandatory palliative care training (74%). Surgical oncology trainees and trainees with no previous palliative care exposure were significantly less likely to consult palliative care and had significantly less awareness of palliative care.

METHODS: We conducted an institutional review board–approved online survey to determine awareness of palliative care among graduate medical trainees at MD Anderson. One hundred seventy oncology trainees who completed at least 9 months of training in medical, surgical, gynecologic, and radiation oncology fellowship and residency program during the 2013 academic year completed an online questionnaire. Descriptive, univariate, and multivariate analyses were performed.

BIAS, CONFOUNDING FACTOR(S), DRAWBACKS: Although there was a substantial response rate (78%), the results may not be generalizable as the survey was conducted at a single institution. Also, the frequency of palliative care referrals is self-reported.

REAL-LIFE IMPLICATIONS: Our findings suggest that exposure to palliative care training may lead to increased awareness of palliative care among oncologists, and thus, increased overall and early referrals to palliative care. Surgical oncology trainees may benefit from increased exposure to palliative care rotations. More research is needed to characterize the impact of training on referral patterns to palliative care. In the meantime, efforts should be made to include formal palliative care rotations in oncology training.



DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2015.006619; published online ahead of print at jop.ascopubs.org on January 19, 2016.

Table 2. Awareness of SPC Concepts, Role, and Availability*

SPC Concepts, Role, or Availability	Agree/Disagree	No. (%)
SPC is synonymous with hospice/EOL care.	Disagree	90 (74%)
SPC referral can decrease hope.	Disagree	85 (70%)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms with newly diagnosed cancer.	Agree	90 (74%)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms and is undergoing active treatment for cancer.	Agree	97 (79.5%)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms and is receiving treatment for advanced cancer.	Agree	116 (95%)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has symptoms and is no longer receiving treatment for advanced cancer or is in transition to end of life.	Agree	116 (95%)
SPC can decrease overall symptom burden.	Agree	116 (95%)
SPC can decrease health care utilization, such as health care costs, ICU visits, and EC visits.	Agree	108 (89%)

Abbreviations: SPC, supportive/palliative care; EOL, end of life; ICU, intensive care unit; EC, emergency center;

^{*}aDecreased awareness, fewer than six of eight questions correct; increased awareness, six to eight of eight questions correct.

Attitudes, Beliefs, and Awareness of Graduate Medical Education **Trainees Regarding Palliative Care** at a Comprehensive Cancer Center

Angelique Wong, MD, Akhila Reddy, MD, Janet L. Williams, MPH, CCRP, Jimin Wu, MS, Diane Liu, MS, and Eduardo Bruera, MD

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX.

Abstract

Purpose

Palliative care (PC) training and integration with oncology care remain suboptimal. Current attitudes and beliefs of the oncology trainees regarding PC are not fully known. This study was undertaken in an attempt to address this issue.

Participants and Methods

We conducted a survey to determine awareness of PC among graduate medical trainees at a comprehensive cancer center with an established PC program. One hundred seventy oncology trainees who completed ≥ 9 months of training in medical, surgical, gynecologic, and radiation oncology fellowships and residency programs during the 2013 academic year completed an online questionnaire. Descriptive, univariable, and multivariable analyses were performed.

Results

The response rate was 78% (132 of 170 trainees); 10 trainees without hands-on patient care were excluded. Medical (53 of 60 [88%]), gynecologic (six of six [100%]), and radiation oncology (20 of 20 [100%]) trainees reported more awareness of PC compared with surgical oncology (22 of 36 [61%]) trainees (P = .001). One hundred twelve of 122 (92%) perceived PC as beneficial to patients and families. One hundred eight of 122 (89%) perceived that PC can reduce health care costs, 78 (64%) believed that PC can increase survival, and 90 (74%) would consult PC for a patient with newly diagnosed cancer with symptoms. Eighty-two trainees (67%) believed a mandatory PC rotation is important. Trainees with previous exposure to PC rotations were more aware of the role of PC services than were trainees without PC rotation (96% [46 of 48] v 74% [55 of 74]; P = .005,respectively).

Conclusion

Surgical trainees and trainees without previous PC rotation had significantly less awareness of PC. Overall, trainees perceived PC as beneficial to patients and capable of reducing costs while increasing survival; they also supported early PC referrals and endorsed a mandatory PC rotation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT



Appendices & Data Supplements DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2015.006619



DOI: 10.1200/JOP.2015.006619; published online ahead of print at jop.ascopubs.org on January 19, 2016.

INTRODUCTION

Palliative care (PC) developed fairly recently as a specialty and has grown rapidly since being recognized as a subspecialty by the American Board of Medical Subspecialties. PC is defined by the WHO as an

approach that minimizes suffering and improves the quality of life of patients and their families who are facing life-threatening illnesses.² PC has been reported as decreasing the length of intensive care unit (ICU) stays, health care spending, and emergency room visits.³⁻⁵

ASCO proposes that PC be fully integrated as a routine part of comprehensive cancer care in the United States by 2020.⁶ In a 2013 report on the state of cancer care and a 2014 report on end-of-life care, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) proposed that PC be integrated into the care of all patients with cancer^{7,8}.

Palliative medicine programs have developed rapidly at larger hospitals and academic hospitals in the United States over the past decade. 9,10 Early referrals to PC are crucial in allowing clinical teams to provide early relief of physical and psychosocial distress, establish a relationship with patients and families, and facilitate advance care and discharge planning, possibly resulting in preventing both inpatient and ICU death.^{3,6} However, only a minority of patients with advanced cancer receive referrals to PC, and those often occur late in the trajectory of illness. 9-13 One study suggests that academic training has a positive impact on the knowledge of future physicians regarding PC.¹⁴ Despite the rapid growth of PC and its integration into many comprehensive cancer centers, there is no consistent requirement for PC training during medical school and residency training in the United States. Trainees report inadequate knowledge and a lack of competence in PC skills and would like more training and education in palliative and end-of-life care. 15-22

At The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, the PC program was established in 1999, and PC is delivered in three different settings: five mobile consultation teams, an acute PC unit, and an outpatient supportive care clinic. ²³ The fellows and trainees at MD Anderson come into frequent contact with PC during the process of direct patient care and also during rotations in PC.

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the awareness of PC services among graduate medical education trainees (residents and fellows) at MD Anderson by assessing attitudes and beliefs of trainees regarding PC as well as their awareness of the availability and role of PC services. Secondary aims included determining associations between demographic factors and the usage of PC services at a comprehensive cancer center, and determining associations between previous exposure to PC and awareness of PC services.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Study Design and Recruitment

This was an institutional review board–approved prospective cross-sectional survey of graduate medical trainees who attended MD Anderson for training between July 2013 and June 2014.

We chose to conduct this study at MD Anderson, as compared with conducting a multicenter study, because these trainees have been exposed to a fully developed and functioning PC program and because there is wide variation in the number and size of PC programs, even at cancer centers. ¹² Therefore, it was expected that these trainees would be exposed to more uniform PC training.

Trainees were identified initially with help from the Office of the Department of Graduate Medical Education and were then selected to participate if their specialty involved hands-on patient care, such as in medical oncology, gynecologic oncology, surgical oncology, and radiation oncology.

To be eligible, participants had to be full-time graduate medical trainees at MD Anderson who spent ≥ 1 day per week providing direct hands-on care, and trainees also had to have delivered care to at least one patient who died of cancer within the past year.

A complementary \$15 value gift card from Subway was sent to trainees, along with a letter requesting the trainee to consider completing the survey questionnaire. An e-mail invitation to participate, with the link to the online survey included, was sent to eligible trainees. Trainees were informed that their responses to the survey would be e-mailed directly to a data manager within the Department of PC who would remove names of participants and anonymize the data, and that the investigators would not have access to any identifiable information. For those participants who did not respond within 10 days, reminder e-mails were sent by the data manager, followed by another e-mail to those who did not respond by day 20. A total of six e-mails (approximately one e-mail per week) was sent to each participant.

Survey Development

The survey was composed of 24 questions and was developed by the research team, which included leaders in PC fellowship education who had expertise in competencies and/or learner evaluation. On the basis of a review of recent recommendations regarding PC from organizations such as ASCO⁶ and the IOM, ^{8,9} recent PC literature, ^{3,5} and educational goals set forth

by the mandatory PC rotation for medical oncology fellows at MD Anderson, the authors developed eight questions to survey the overall awareness among oncology residents and fellows of the role and availability of PC. Multiple organizations, including the IOM, 8,9 the American Cancer Society, the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine, and the Center to Advance Palliative Care, have clearly defined the difference between PC and hospice and/or end-of-life care.²⁴ We sought to ascertain awareness of trainees of this difference by asking whether supportive and palliative care (SPC) is synonymous with hospice care. On the basis of recent recommendations regarding SPC from organizations such as ASCO⁶ and the IOM, ^{8,9} as well as on findings from recent PC literature, we sought to survey the awareness of trainees of when referrals to PC for patients with cancer are appropriate. The IOM recommends integration of PC for patients with cancer at all points of disease trajectory, from diagnosis to end of life.^{8,9} Numerous studies, including randomized controlled trials, have shown that PC referrals improve quality of life and possibly survival and decrease symptom burden and health care costs, ICU visits, and emergency room visits. 3,5,25-33 Although some health care professionals perceive that SPC referral decreases hope,³⁴ there is no study to date that has proven this. We assessed the awareness of trainees of SPC by asking them whether SPC referral can decrease hope.

We also assessed the demographics of the respondent; previous training in PC; frequency of use of the PC service; perception of the usefulness of PC service; awareness of the impact of PC on decreased symptom burden, decreased health care spending, decreased emergency room visits, decreased ICU stays, and increased overall survival; and attitudes and beliefs regarding the benefit of early referral to PC and the benefit of PC education. The survey was intentionally concise to maximize response from the target audience of busy trainees. The full survey questionnaire is available in the Data Supplement.

Data Analyses

This descriptive protocol was based on a sample of 187 trainees who could provide an adequate number of responses for the primary outcome, which was a description of the attitudes and beliefs regarding PC among graduate medical trainees at MD Anderson.

We summarized the data using standard descriptive statistics and contingency tables. Association between categorical variables was examined by χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. The

Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to examine the difference in continuous variables between groups. Both univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were applied to assess the effect of variables of interest on awareness of PC.

All analyses were performed in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). P values \leq .05 were considered statically significant.

RESULTS

One hundred thirty-two of 171 (77%) responded and completed the survey. Ten trainees were excluded because they were not involved in hands-on patient care or did not care for a dying patient in the past year. The final sample size was 122 of 132 trainees. Table 1 lists trainee characteristics and compares the attitudes and beliefs of trainees with decreased awareness with those of trainees with increased awareness of SPC services. Table 2 categorizes awareness regarding PC concepts and the availability and role of PC services among graduate medical trainees (residents and fellows) at MD Anderson on the basis of eight questions. The cutoff of answering fewer than six of eight questions correctly equates to less than 75% correct. This was termed as having less awareness of SPC. The correct answers are listed in Table 2. Seventeen percent of trainees (n = 21) were found be less aware, answering fewer than six questions correctly. Fifty of 122 participants (41%) answered all eight questions correctly.

In brief, Table 1 indicates that the majority of trainees were fellows in general medical oncology, and that there was no significant difference in age or sex. A larger proportion of surgical trainees (39%) reported decreased awareness of PC compared with medical (12%), gynecologic (0%), and radiation oncology (0%) trainees (P < .001). Fifty of 51 of trainees who referred to PC most or all the time showed more awareness of PC compared with those who referred to PC none of the time (98% ν 33%, respectively; P < .001). Those with increased awareness of SPC were significantly more likely to have trained at MD Anderson for longer than 1 year than were trainees with less awareness (90.5% v 71%, respectively [34 of 48]; P = .004). Of interest, compared with trainees with more awareness, trainees with less awareness of SPC were more likely to have been in postmedical school training for more than 6 years (94% ν 75%, respectively; P = .006).

Trainees with previous exposure to PC rotations had more awareness of PC than did trainees without PC rotation (96% [46 of 48] v 74% [55 of 74], respectively; P = .002). A majority (67%) of trainees agreed that mandatory rotation in PC is

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics and Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding SPC

Variable	Total, No. (%)	Decreased Awareness, No. (%)*	Increased Awareness, No. (%)†	<i>P</i> ‡
Trainees overall	122 (100)	21 (17)	101 (83)	
Specialty GYN ONC MED ONC RAD ONC SURG ONC	6 (5) 60 (49) 20 (16.4) 36 (29.5)	0 (0) 7 (12) 0 (0) 14 (39)	6 (100) 53 (88) 20 (100) 22 (61)	< .00^
Sex Female Male	54 (44) 68 (56)	5 (9) 16 (23)	49 (91) 52 (76)	.052
Race/ethnicity Asian White Other	47 (38.5) 58 (47.5) 17 (14)	7 (15) 12 (21) 2 (12)	40 (85) 46 (79) 15 (88)	.902
Years of postmedical school training ≤ 6 > 6	51 (42) 71 (58)	3 (6) 18 (25)	48 (94) 26 (37)	.006
Time at MDACC ≥ 1 year Between 6 months and 1 year	74 (61) 48 (39)	7 (9.5) 14 (29)	67 (90.5) 34 (71)	.004
Previous training in PC Yes No	48 (39) 74 (61)	2 (4) 19 (26)	46 (96) 55 (74)	.002
No. of patients who died in the past 1 year ≤ 20 > 20	72 (59) 50 (41)	17 (24) 4 (8)	55 (76) 46 (92)	.028
No. of patients with advanced cancer seen in the past 1 year 0-7 ≥ 8	45 (37) 77 (63)	13 (29) 8 (10)	32 (71) 69 (90)	.009
No. of times SPC consultation requested in the past 1 year \leq 20 $>$ 20	88 (72) 34 (30)	20 (23) 1 (3)	68 (77) 33 (97)	.007
Type of SPC consultation I have not consulted SPC Inpatient More than one service or setting Outpatient	10 (8) 38 (31) 56 (46) 17 (14)	7 (70) 7 (18) 5 (9) 1 (6)	3 (30) 31 (82) 51 (91) 16 (94)	< .001
Frequency of referral None of the time A little of the time Some of the time Most of the time All of the time	9 (7) 13 (11) 49 (40) 44 (36) 7 (6)	6 (67) 7 (54) 7 (14) 0 (0) 1 (14)	3 (33) 6 (46) 42 (86) 44 (100) 6 (86)	< .001
Consult SPC with uncontrolled symptoms and no active cancer				

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics and Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding SPC (continued)

Variable	Total, No. (%)	Decreased Awareness, No. (%)*	Increased Awareness, No. (%)†	<i>P</i> ‡
SPC is beneficial to patients and families Agree	112 (92)	17 (15)	95 (85)	.068
Mandatory rotation in PC is important Agree	82 (67)	10 (12.2)	72 (88)	.035
Preference for term SC ν PC I prefer neither of the terms Prefer SC over PC Undecided	6 (5) 100 (82) 16 (13)	3 (50) 12 (12) 6 (37.5)	3 (50) 88 (88) 10 (63)	.003

Abbreviations: GYN ONC, gynecologic oncology; MDACC, MD Anderson Cancer Center; MED ONC, medical oncology; PC, palliative care; RAD ONC, radiation oncology; SC, supportive care; SPC, supportive and palliative care; SURG ONC, surgical oncology.

important. Those with decreased awareness of PC were less likely to agree that a mandatory rotation is important (12% ν 88%, P = .035), but 10 of 21 (48%) of those reporting decreased awareness of PC felt that a mandatory rotation was needed. Overall, trainees (100 of 122 [82%]) strongly preferred using the term supportive care over PC (P = .003). One hundred twelve trainees (92%) perceived PC as beneficial to patients and families. Of those surveyed, 90 (74%) would consult PC for a patient with newly diagnosed cancer with symptoms, 108 (89%) perceived that PC can reduce health care costs, 78 (64%) perceived that PC can increase survival, and 37 (30%) perceived that PC referral decreased hope (Table 2).

Table 3 indicates that in comparison with other specialties, surgical trainees more commonly did not refer to SPC (P < .001). Surgical trainees more commonly would not consult SPC for symptomatic patients with newly diagnosed cancer (P = .009) nor for those undergoing active primary treatment of cancer (P = .004). Compared with trainees from other specialties, surgical trainees do not believe a referral to PC increases survival (P < .001). On univariable logistic regression analyses, compared with surgical oncology specialty, radiation oncology specialty (odds ratio [OR], 7.44; 95% CI, 2.39 to 23.22; P < .001) and previous PC training (OR, 2.42; 95% CI, 1.20 to 4.90) were associated with increased awareness of SPC services. Multivariable regression revealed

Table 2. Awareness of SPC Concepts, Role, and Availability

SPC Concept, Role, or Availability	Agree or Disagree	No. (%)
SPC is synonymous with hospice and/or EOL care.	Disagree	90 (74)
SPC referral can decrease hope.	Disagree	85 (70)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms with newly diagnosed cancer.	Agree	90 (74)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms and is undergoing active treatment of cancer.	Agree	97 (79.5)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms and is receiving treatment for advanced cancer.	Agree	116 (95)
I would consult SPC for a patient who has symptoms and is no longer receiving treatment for advanced cancer or is in transition to EOL.	Agree	116 (95)
SPC can decrease overall symptom burden.	Agree	116 (95)
SPC can decrease health care use, such as health care costs, ICU visits, and EC visits.	Agree	108 (89)

Abbreviations: EC, emergency center; EOL, end of life; ICU, intensive care unit; SPC, supportive and palliative care.

^{*}Fewer than six of eight questions correct.

[†]Six to eight of eight questions correct.

[‡]Associations between trainees with decreased versus increased awareness were measured with χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests.

Table 3. Comparison Among Specialties

Variable	Total, No. (%)	GYN ONC, No. (%)	MED ONC, No. (%)	RAD ONC, No. (%)	SURG ONC, No. (%)	P *
Frequency of referral None of the time A little of the time Some of the time Most of the time All of the time	9 (7) 13 (11) 49 (40) 44 (36) 7 (6)	0 (0) 0 (0) 2 (4.1) 3 (7) 1 (14)	2 (22) 4 (31) 25 (51) 24 (54.5) 5 (71)	0 (0) 0 (0) 7 (14) 12 (27) 1 (14)	7 (78) 9 (69) 15 (31) 5 (11) 0 (0)	< .001
Type of SPC consultation I have not consulted palliative care. Inpatient Supportive Care consultation team More than one service or setting Outpatient Supportive Care Center	10 (8) 38 (31) 56 (46) 17 (14)	0 (0) 2 (5) 4 (7) 0 (0)	1 (10) 18 (47) 32 (57) 9 (53)	2 (20) 1 (3) 9 (16) 8 (47)	7 (70) 17 (45) 11 (20) 0 (0)	< .001
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms with newly diagnosed cancer. Disagree Agree	32 (26) 90 (74)	1 (3) 5 (6)	14 (44) 46 (51)	1 (3) 19 (21)	16 (50) 20 (22)	.009
I would consult SPC for a patient who has uncontrolled symptoms and is undergoing primary treatment of cancer. Disagree Agree	25 (20.5) 97 (79.5)	1 (4) 5 (5)	10 (40) 50 (51.5)	0 (0) 20 (21)	14 (56) 22 (23)	.004
SPC referral increases survival. Disagree Agree	44 (36) 78 (64)	3 (7) 3 (4)	15 (34) 45 (58)	3 (7) 17 (22)	23 (52) 13 (17)	< .001

Abbreviations: GYN ONC, gynecologic oncology; MED ONC, medical oncology; RAD ONC, radiation oncology; SPC, supportive and palliative care; SURG ONC, surgical oncology.

the radiation oncology specialty, as compared with the surgical oncology specialty (OR, 5.29; 95% CI, 1.63 to 17.23; P = .005), and previous PC training (OR, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.03 to 6.07; P = .042) were associated with increased awareness of SPC services.

DISCUSSION

The results of our survey demonstrate that trainees with less awareness of PC are less likely to have received PC training and are also more likely to be surgeons (Appendix Table A1, online only). It may be that surgical trainees see fewer patients at the end of life because they may be seeing patients who are in the early stages of diagnosis or treatment and are seeking a curative treatment, and, thus, surgeons may be referring infrequently to PC. More research is needed to define the association between length of training in PC and referral patterns. The surgical oncology fellowship at MD Anderson is shorter than that of the other trainee programs, and, thus, it may be that

the surgeons are less exposed to the PC program. Studies have shown that decreased exposure and education is associated with less use of PC,³⁴⁻⁴⁰ and our findings support this.

Previous PC training, as well as time spent at MD Anderson, had a strong impact on awareness of PC as well as on referral pattern (Appendix Table A1). Lack of training in PC may result in less use of the PC service, which may reflect on overall patient care and quality of care. Qualitative studies concluded that previous training in PC increases the competencies of trainees with end-of-life care^{20,21} and general patient care.⁴¹ Two thirds of the trainees surveyed agreed that mandatory rotations in PC should be implemented in medical schools and residency training programs. Most oncology fellowships across the nation do not have mandatory rotations in PC.¹² Several studies that surveyed trainees have shown that training is inadequate but desired by trainees.¹⁵⁻²² Currently, standardized curricula for undergraduate and graduate trainees are being developed.⁴²

^{*}Associations between trainees with decreased versus increased awareness were measured with χ^2 and Fisher's exact tests.

One of the secondary outcomes of a randomized control trial of early PC access was that patients referred to PC experienced longer survival.⁴ We were surprised that although there was little evidence to support this finding at the time our survey was conducted, an overwhelming percentage of the trainees felt that PC referral can increase survival. It is encouraging that a study published after our survey was completed confirmed that early PC may improve survival.⁴³

We found that trainees with more awareness of SPC believe that SPC should be consulted for patients with no active cancer but with uncontrolled symptoms—this needs to be explored further. Perhaps their experience in having thephysical and emotional distress of their patients alleviated after referral to SPC may prompt them to refer their distressed patients with cancer without any active disease to PC. As such, the majority of trainees (92%) reported that SPC is beneficial to patients and families.

Our findings suggest that surgical oncology trainees may need to be targeted for PC education. Our findings are supported by a recent study that showed that surgical oncology fellows believe end-of-life care training is a necessary but lacking part of their training. 44 Surgical oncology trainees at MD Anderson work closely with anesthesiologists and the acute pain service for the management of postoperative pain, and, thus, surgical trainees are likely to consult with them more often than with PC. Of interest, we found that a longer time spent in postmedical school training indicated less awareness and less use of PC. Surgical trainees have a much longer postmedical school training period compared with the other specialties, which may be a possible explanation for our finding.

Medical oncology trainees at MD Anderson were highly supportive of PC. A possible explanation is their increased exposure to our service, because these trainees have a mandatory 1-month rotation in PC during which they work closely with palliative medicine fellows and faculty in both outpatient and inpatient supportive care and PC settings.

Those who believe SPC can decrease hope may need to be targeted for increased PC exposure and education, although, to our knowledge, there has been no study that has proven SPC referral decreases hope. This perception from the perspective of the health care provider needs to be explored further. In our previous study, however, we observed that our referring medical oncologists and midlevel providers perceived the term PC to be associated with a reduction in hope. Therefore, our objective in this study was to confirm whether trainees had the

same perception. Studies have suggested that provider perceptions of PC removing hope may be barriers to timely referrals to SPC.³⁴

Of importance, although the academic department and the Palliative Care Unit are called Palliative Care, the outpatient clinic and inpatient mobile consult service are both called Supportive Care. This has been shown to be associated with increased and earlier referrals. Our findings confirmed a strong preference for the name Supportive Care. Perhaps the name change from PC may facilitate an improved perception among trainees at other programs.

Our study has several limitations. First, the study was conducted at a comprehensive cancer center with a well-established PC program and may not be generalizable to other centers. Although the response rate was 82%, there could a potential selection bias caused by those who chose not to answer the survey.

The survey questionnaire we used to assess awareness of PC is not a validated tool. To our knowledge, there is no tool at present that tests the awareness of PC and its concepts, availability, and role among nonspecialized providers. Our intention was not to construct an instrument or tool but simply to survey the attitudes and beliefs of oncology trainees. Questions and statements regarding awareness of PC roles and services again were based on recommendations, statements, and quality measures suggested by ASCO, the IOM, the Center to Advance Palliative Care, and recent PC literature. 3,5,8,9,25-33

The responses to the survey are both surprising and encouraging in that there was a highly positive attitude toward PC. In the past, we have suggested that the adoption of PC by institutions requires a culture shift. In 2008, the European Society of Medical Oncology found that 15% of 895 medical oncologists surveyed had negative views regarding involvement of PC. A more recent study found that \leq 22% of 50 oncologists had negative views regarding SPC. Our findings suggest that exposure to PC referrals and education has dramatically changed the culture among oncologists (in training) toward PC.

In conclusion, trainees in medical, surgical, radiation, and gynecologic oncology at a comprehensive cancer center were highly aware and supportive of PC, and a majority endorsed a mandatory PC rotation in medical school and residency, although this is not widely available nationwide. Increased awareness of PC, as compared with less awareness, leads to overall increased referrals and increased early referrals to PC. Surgical oncology trainees may benefit from increased

exposure to PC rotations. More research is needed to characterize the impact of training on referral patterns to PC. JOP

Acknowledgment

Supported by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Department of Palliative Care and Rehabilitation Medicine. A.W. and A.R. contributed equally to this work. Presented at a meeting of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer, Copenhagen, Denmark, June 27, 2015.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jop.ascopubs.org.

Author Contributions

Conception and design: All authors

Collection and assembly of data: Angelique Wong, Akhila Reddy, Janet L. Williams, Eduardo Bruera

Data analysis and interpretation: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Corresponding author: Eduardo Bruera, MD, Department of Palliative Care and Rehabilitation Medicine, Unit 1414, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030; e-mail: ebruera@mdanderson.org.

References

- 1. Clark D: From margins to centre: A review of the history of palliative care in cancer. Lancet Oncol 8:430-438, 2007
- 2. Pain relief and palliative care, in National Cancer Control Programmes: Policies and Managerial Guidelines (ed 2). Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 2002, pp 83-91
- $\textbf{3.} \ \ \text{Bruera E, Yennurajalingam S: Palliative care in advanced cancer patients: How and when? Oncologist 17:267-273, 2012$
- **4.** Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, et al: Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 363:733-742, 2010
- **5.** Elsayem A, Swint K, Fisch MJ, et al: Palliative care inpatient service in a comprehensive cancer center: Clinical and financial outcomes. J Clin Oncol 22: 2008-2014. 2004
- **6.** Ferris FD, Bruera E, Cherny N, et al: Palliative cancer care a decade later: Accomplishments, the need, next steps—From the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Clin Oncol 27:3052-3058, 2009
- **7.** Institute of Medicine: Delivering high-quality cancer care: Charting a new course for a system in crisis. http://www.iom.edu/~/media/Files/Report%20Files/2013/Quality-Cancer-Care/qualitycancercare_rb.pdf
- **8.** Institute of Medicine: Dying in America: Improving quality and honoring individual preferences near the end of life. http://www.iom.edu/~/media/Files/Report% 20Files/2014/EOL/Report%20Brief.pdf
- **9.** Morrison RS, Maroney-Galin C, Kralovec PD, et al: The growth of palliative care programs in United States hospitals. J Palliat Med 8:1127-1134, 2005
- 10. Hughes MT, Smith TJ: The growth of palliative care in the United States. Annu Rev Public Health 35:459-475, 2014
- **11.** Cheng WW, Willey J, Palmer JL, et al: Interval between palliative care referral and death among patients treated at a comprehensive cancer center. J Palliat Med 8: 1025-1032, 2005
- $\bf 12.~$ Hui D, Elsayem A, De la Cruz M, et al: Availability and integration of palliative care at US cancer centers. JAMA 303:1054-1061, 2010
- **13**. Hui D, Kim SH, Kwon JH, et al: Access to palliative care among patients treated at a comprehensive cancer center. Oncologist 17:1574-1580, 2012
- **14.** Papke J, Freier W: Knowledge and experience of palliative medicine among general practitioners in Germany [in German]. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 132: 2620-2623, 2007

- $\textbf{15.} \ \mathsf{Lamba} \ \mathsf{S,} \ \mathsf{Pound} \ \mathsf{A,} \ \mathsf{Rella} \ \mathsf{JG,} \ \mathsf{et} \ \mathsf{al:} \ \mathsf{Emergency} \ \mathsf{medicine} \ \mathsf{resident} \ \mathsf{education} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{palliative} \ \mathsf{care:} \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{needs} \ \mathsf{assessment.} \ \mathsf{J} \ \mathsf{Palliat} \ \mathsf{Med} \ \mathsf{15:516-520,} \ \mathsf{2012}$
- **16.** Van Aalst-Cohen ES, Riggs R, Byock IR: Palliative care in medical school curricula: A survey of United States medical schools. J Palliat Med 11:1200-1202, 2008
- **17.** Sullivan AM, Lakoma MD, Block SD: The status of medical education in end-of-life care: A national report. J Gen Intern Med 18:685-695, 2003
- **18.** Billings JA, Block S: Palliative care in undergraduate medical education. Status report and future directions. JAMA 278:733-738, 1997
- **19**. Litauska AM, Kozikowski A, Nouryan CN, et al: Do residents need end-of-life care training? Palliat Support Care 12:195-201, 2014
- **20.** Schroder C, Heyland D, Jiang X, et al: Canadian Researchers at the End of Life Network: Educating medical residents in end-of-life care: Insights from a multicenter survey. J Palliat Med 12:459-470, 2009
- **21.** Tait GR, Hodges BD: End-of-life care education for psychiatric residents: Attitudes, preparedness, and conceptualizations of dignity. Acad Psychiatry 33:451-456, 2009
- **22.** Schwartz CE, Goulet JL, Gorski V, et al: Medical residents' perceptions of endof-life care training in a large urban teaching hospital. J Palliat Med 6:37-44, 2003
- 23. Bruera E, Hui D: Conceptual models for integrating palliative care at cancer centers. J Palliat Med 15:1261-1269, 2012
- **24.** Center to Advance Palliative Care: 2011 Public opinion research on palliative care: A report based on research by public opinion strategies. http://www.capc.org/tools-for-palliative-care-programs/marketing/public-opinion-research/2011-public-opinion-research-on-palliative-care.pdf
- **25.** Bakitas M, Lyons KD, Hegel MT, et al: Effects of a palliative care intervention on clinical outcomes in patients with advanced cancer: The Project ENABLE II randomized controlled trial. JAMA 302:741-749, 2009
- **26.** Greer JA, Jackson VA, Meier DE, et al: Early integration of palliative care services with standard oncology care for patients with advanced cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 63: 349-363. 2013
- **27.** Brumley R, Enguidanos S, Jamison P, et al: Increased satisfaction with care and lower costs: Results of a randomized trial of in-home palliative care. J Am Geriatr Soc 55:993-1000, 2007
- **28.** Gade G, Venohr I, Conner D, et al: Impact of an inpatient palliative care team: A randomized control trial. J Palliat Med 11:180-190, 2008
- $\textbf{29.} \ \, \text{Goldsmith B, Dietrich J, Du Q, et al: Variability in access to hospital palliative care in the United States. J Palliat Med 11:1094-1102, 2008}$
- **30.** Ciemins EL, Blum L, Nunley M, et al: The economic and clinical impact of an inpatient palliative care consultation service: A multifaceted approach. J Palliat Med 10:1347-1355, 2007
- **31.** Morrison RS, Penrod JD, Cassel JB, et al: Palliative Care Leadership Centers' Outcomes Group: Cost savings associated with US hospital palliative care consultation programs. Arch Intern Med 168:1783-1790, 2008
- **32.** Pirl WF, Greer JA, Traeger L, et al: Depression and survival in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: Effects of early palliative care. J Clin Oncol 30:1310-1315, 2012
- **33.** Zimmermann C, Swami N, Rodin G, et al: Cluster-randomized trial of early palliative care for patients with metastatic cancer. Presented at the 2012 American Society for Clinical Oncology Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL, June 1-5, 2012.
- **34.** Kumar P, Casarett D, Corcoran A, et al: Utilization of supportive and palliative care services among oncology outpatients at one academic cancer center: determinants of use and barriers to access. J Palliat Med 15:923-930, 2012
- **35.** DeVader TE, Jeanmonod R: The effect of education in hospice and palliative care on emergency medicine residents' knowledge and referral patterns. J Palliat Med 15: 510-515, 2012
- **36.** Twamley K, Craig F, Kelly P, et al: Underlying barriers to referral to paediatric palliative care services: Knowledge and attitudes of health care professionals in a paediatric tertiary care centre in the United Kingdom. J Child Health Care 18:19-30, 2014
- **37.** Kavalieratos D, Mitchell EM, Carey TS, et al: "Not the 'grim reaper service": An assessment of provider knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding

- palliative care referral barriers in heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc 3:e000544, 2014
- **38.** Miyashita M, Sanjo M, Morita T, et al: Barriers to providing palliative care and priorities for future actions to advance palliative care in Japan: A nationwide expert opinion survey. J Palliat Med 10:390-399, 2007
- **39.** Rodriguez KL, Barnato AE, Arnold RM: Perceptions and utilization of palliative care services in acute care hospitals. J Palliat Med 10:99-110, 2007
- **40.** Meo N, Hwang U, Morrison RS: Resident perceptions of palliative care training in the emergency department. J Palliat Med 14:548-555, 2011
- **41.** Crawford GB, Zambrano SC: Junior doctors' views of how their undergraduate clinical electives in palliative care influenced their current practice of medicine. Acad Med 90:338-344. 2015
- **42.** Schaefer KG, Chittenden EH, Sullivan AM, et al: Raising the bar for the care of seriously ill patients: Results of a national survey to define essential palliative care competencies for medical students and residents. Acad Med 89:1024-1031, 2014
- 43. Bakitas MA, Tosteson TD, Li Z, et al: Early versus delayed initiation of concurrent palliative oncology care: Patient outcomes in the ENABLE III randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol 33:1438-1445, 2015

- **44.** Amini A, Miura JT, Larrieux G, et al: Palliative care training in surgical oncology and hepatobiliary fellowships: A national survey of the fellows. Ann Surg Oncol 22: 1761-1767, 2015
- **45.** Fadul N, Elsayem A, Palmer JL, et al: Supportive versus palliative care: What's in a name?: A survey of medical oncologists and midlevel providers at a comprehensive cancer center. Cancer 115:2013-2021, 2009
- **46.** Dalal S, Palla S, Hui D, et al: Association between a name change from palliative to supportive care and the timing of patient referrals at a comprehensive cancer center. Oncologist 16:105-111, 2011
- **47.** Bruera E: The development of a palliative care culture. J Palliat Care 20:316-319, 2004
- **48.** Cherny NI, Catane R; European Society of Medical Oncology Taskforce on Palliative and Supportive Care: Attitudes of medical oncologists toward palliative care for patients with advanced and incurable cancer: Report on a survery by the European Society of Medical Oncology Taskforce on Palliative and Supportive Care. Cancer 98:2502-2510, 2003
- **49.** Charalambous H, Pallis A, Hasan B, et al: Attitudes and referral patterns of lung cancer specialists in Europe to specialized palliative care (SPC) and the practice of early palliative care (EPC). BMC Palliat Care 13:59, 2014

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Attitudes, Beliefs, and Awareness of Graduate Medical Education Trainees Regarding Palliative Care at a Comprehensive Cancer Center

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or https://jop.ascopubs.org/site/misc/ifc.xhtml.

Angelique Wong

No relationship to disclose

Akhila Reddy

No relationship to disclose

Janet L. Williams

No relationship to disclose

Jimin Wu

No relationship to disclose

Diane Liu

No relationship to disclose

Eduardo Bruera

No relationship to disclose

Appendix

Table A1. Evaluating Effects on Awareness of Supportive and Palliative Care

	Univari 	Univariable Logistic Regression: Increased Awareness			Multivariable Logistic Regression: Increased Awareness		
Variable	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	
Specialty							
GYN ONC	4.41	0.81 to 23.96	.085	3.70	0.65 to 20.98	.138	
MED ONC	2.52	1.14 to 5.57	.022	1.48	0.58 to 3.80	.409	
RAD ONC	7.44	2.39 to 23.22	< .001	5.29	1.63 to 17.23	.005	
SURG ONC	1.00			1.00			
Previous palliative care training							
Yes	2.42	1.20 to 4.90	.013	2.50	1.03 to 6.07	.042	
No	1.00			1.00			

Abbreviations: GYN ONC, gynecologic oncology; MED ONC, medical oncology; OR, odds ratio; RAD ONC, radiation oncology; SURG ONC, surgical oncology.